



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 11/13/2001 7107 10/054,604 Takahiro Unno TI-29771 23494 **EXAMINER** 7590 07/12/2005 TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED OPSASNICK, MICHAEL N P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT DALLAS, TX 75265 2655

DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/054,604	UNNO, TAKAHIRO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael N. Opsasnick	2655
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reption. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI y statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n 16 May 2005.	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.		•
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	•	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(s	e) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		plication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		•
application from the International		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	·	eceived.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO. Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	(SB/08) 5) Notice of this	-

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 1 of the specification, cross references to related patent applications have been left blank. Examiner notes that the date has been filled in, but not the complete application number. Please see 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11 for proper formats.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the

Art Unit: 2655

reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by <u>Das et al</u> (6260017).

As per claims 1,3, Das et al (6260017) teaches applying a base layer filter to a signal (as filtering, col. 4 lines 60-65); finding a base layer estimate by error minimization (as calculating the linear prediction residual \rightarrow col. 4 line 64, col. 5 lines 23-30); finding a first enhancement layer...perceptual filter (as choosing the n best samples to improve upon the final synthesized signal (col. 7 lines 14-27); for j=2...N, error minimizing....filter (as extracting the previous prototype W, calculating a new prototype, and using the alpha parameters from the samples to generate the new samples (col. 9 lines 25-26).

As per claim 2, <u>Das et al (6260017)</u> teaches CELP (col. 10 lines 10-20);

As per claims 4,5, <u>Das et al (6260017)</u> teaches differences in short-term parameter calculation and long term parameter calculation (col. 2 lines 5-27).

Response to Arguments.

4. Applicant's arguments filed 5/16/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. As per applicant's allegation that Das, col. 10 lines 16-23 is explicitly not a layered coder, examiner argues that Das does not say this in col. 10 lines 16-23. Furthermore, Applicant's arguments fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.111(b) because they amount to a general

Art Unit: 2655

allegation that the claims define a patentable invention without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872 9314,

(for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2655

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Opsasnick, telephone number (571)272-7623, who is available Tuesday-Thursday, 9am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Wayne Young, can be reached at (571)272-7582. The facsimile phone number for this group is (571)272-7629.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group 2600 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600, the 2600 Customer Service telephone number is (571)272-2600.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mno

7/8/05

SUSAN MCFADDEN
SUSAN MCFADDEN